University of Oxford



DEPARTMENT OF

Topological Data Analysis of Temporal Networks

by

Dimitri Lozeve

St Catherine's College

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the degree of Master of Science in Applied Statistics

Department of Statistics, 24–29 St Giles, Oxford, OX1 3LB

September 2018

Declaration of authorship

This my own work (except where otherwise indicated).

Date

Signature

Abstract

Abstract here

Acknowledgements

Thank you!

Contents

Abstract				
1	Intr	roduction	1	
2	Graphs and Temporal Networks			
	2.1	Definition and basic properties	2	
	2.2	Examples of applications	3	
	2.3	Network partitioning	3	
3	Тор	oological Data Analysis and Persistent Homology	4	
	3.1	Basic constructions	4	
		3.1.1 Homology	4	
		3.1.2 Simplicial Complexes	4	
		3.1.3 Filtrations	5	
	3.2	Persistent Homology	5	
	3.3	Topological summaries: barcodes and persistence diagrams	6	
	3.4	Stability	6	
	3.5	Algorithms and implementations	7	
4	Тор	oological Data Analysis on Networks	8	
I	4.1	Persistent homology for networks	8	
	4.2	Zigzag persistence	8	
5	Pers	sistent Homology for Machine Learning applications	10	
5	5.1	Vectorization methods	10	
	5	5.1.1 Persistence landscapes	10	
		5.1.2 Persistence images	11	
		5.1.3 Tropical and arctic semirings	11	
	5.2	Kernel-based methods	11	
	5	5.2.1 Persistent scale-space kernel	11	
		5.2.2 Persistence weighted gaussian kernel	11	
		5.2.3 Sliced Wasserstein kernel	11	
	5.3	Comparison	11	
	5.2		~ *	

Bibliography

List of Figures

31	Examples of simplices	4
32	Example of a simplicial complex, with two connected components, two 3-simplices,	
	and one 5-simplex	5

List of Tables

1 Introduction

2 Graphs and Temporal Networks

2.1 DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section, we will introduce the notion of temporal networks or graphs. This is a complex notion, with many concurrent definitions and interpretations. First, we restate the standard definition of a non-temporal, static graph.

Definition 2.1 (Graph). A graph is a couple G = (V, E), where V is a finite set of nodes (or vertices), and $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of edges. A weighted graph is defined by G = (V, E, w), where $w : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ is fcalled the weight function.

We also define some basic concepts that will be needed later on to build simplicial complexes on graphs.

Definition 2.2 (Clique). A *clique* is a set of nodes where each pair is connected. That is, a clique C of a graph G = (V, E) is a subset of V such that $\forall i, j \in C, i \neq j \implies (i, j) \in E$. A clique is said to be *maximal* if it cannot be augmented by any node.

Temporal networks are defined in the more general framework of *multilayer networks* [12]. However, this definition is much too general for our simple applications, and we restrict ourselves to edge-centric time-varying graphs [9]. In this model, the set of nodes is fixed and doesn't change over time, whereas edges can appear or disappear at different timestamps.

Definition 2.3 (Temporal network). A *temporal network* (or graph) is a tuple $G = (V, E, T, \rho)$, where:

- V is a finite set of nodes,
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of edges,
- \mathbb{T} is the *temporal domain* (often taken as \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{R}_+), and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is the *lifetime* of the network,
- ρ: E × T → {0,1} is the *presence function*, which determines whether an edge is present in the network at each timestamp.

The available dates of an edge are the set $\mathcal{I}(e) = \{t \in \mathcal{T} : \rho(e, t) = 1\}.$

Temporal networks can also have weighted edges. In this case, it is possible to have constant weights (edges can only appear or disappear over time, and always have the same weight), or time-varying weights. In the latter case, we can set the domain of the presence function to be \mathbb{R}_+ instead of $\{0, 1\}$, where by convention a zero weight corresponds to an absent edge.

Definition 2.4 (Additive temporal network). A temporal network is said to be *additive* if for all $e \in E$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}$, if $\rho(e, t) = 1$, then $\forall t' > t$, $\rho(e, t') = 1$. Edges can only be added to the network, never removed.

2.2 Examples of applications

2.3 NETWORK PARTITIONING

Temporal networks are a very active research subject, leading to multiple interesting problems. The additional time dimension adds a significant layer of complexity that cannot be adequately treated by the common methods on static graphs.

Moreover, data collection can lead to large amount of noise in datasets. Combined with large dataset sized due to the huge number of data points for each node in the network, temporal graphs cannot be studied effectively in their raw form. Recent advances have been made to fit network models to rich but noisy data [18], generally using some variation on the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm.

One solution that has been proposed to study such temporal data has been to *partition* the time scale of the network into a sequence of smaller, static graphs, representing all the interactions during a short interval of time. The approach consists in subdividing the lifetime of the network in *sliding windows* of a given length. We can then "flatten" the temporal network on each time interval, keeping all the edges that appear at least once (or adding their weights in the case of weighted networks).

This partitioning is sensitive to two parameters: the length of each time interval, and their overlap. Of those, the former is the most important: it will define the *resolution* of the study. If it is too small, too much noise will be taken into account; if it is too large, we will lose important information. There is a need to find a compromise, which will depend on the application and on the task performed on the network. In the case of a classification task to determine periodicity, it will be useful to adapt the resolution to the expected period: if we expect week-long periodicity, a resolution of one day seems reasonable.

Once the network is partitioned, we can apply any statistical learning task on the sequence of static graphs. In this study, we will focus on classification of time steps. This can be used to detect periodicity, outliers, or even maximise temporal communities.

3 Topological Data Analysis and Persistent Homology

3.1 BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

3.1.1 Homology

Our goal is to understand the topological structure of a metric space. For this, we can use *homology*, which consists in associating for a metric space X and a dimension i a vector space $H_i(X)$. The dimension of $H_i(X)$ will give us the number of *i*-dimensional components in X: the dimension of $H_0(X)$ is the number of path-connected components in X, the dimension of $H_1(X)$ is the number of holes in X, and the dimension of $H_2(X)$ is the number of voids.

Crucially, these vector spaces are robust to continuous deformation of the underlying metric space (they are *homotopy invariant*). However, computing the homology of an arbitrary metric space can be extremely difficult. It is necessary to approximate it in a structure that would be both combinatorial and topological in nature.

3.1.2 SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

In order to understand the topological structure of a metric space, we need a way to decompose it in smaller pieces which, when assembled, conserve the overall organisation of the space. For this, we use a structure called a *simplicial complex*, which is a kind of higher-dimensional generalization of graphs.

The building blocks of this representation will be *simplices*, which are simply the convex hull of an arbitrary set of points. Examples of simplices include single points, segments, triangles, and tetrahedrons (in dimensions 0, 1, 2, and 3 respectively).

Definition 3.1 (Simplex). The *k*-dimensional simplex $\sigma = [x_0, \ldots, x_k]$ is the convex hull of the set $\{x_0, \ldots, x_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where x_0, \ldots, x_k are affinely independent. x_0, \ldots, x_k are called the *vertices* of σ , and the simplices defined by the subsets of $\{x_0, \ldots, x_k\}$ are called the *faces* of σ .



Figure 31: Examples of simplices

We then need a way to combine these basic building blocks meaningfully so that the resulting object can adequately reflect the topological structure of the metric space.

Definition 3.2 (Simplicial complex). A *simplicial complex* is a collection K of simplices such that:

- any face of a simplex of K is a simplex of K
- the intersection of two simplices of K is either the empty set or a common face or both.



Figure 32: Example of a simplicial complex, with two connected components, two 3-simplices, and one 5-simplex.

The notion of simplicial complex is closely related to that of a hypergraph. The important distinction lies in the fact that a subset of a hyperedge is not necessarily a hyperedge itself.

Using these definitions, we can define homology on simplicial complexes.

3.1.3 FILTRATIONS

If we consider that a simplicial complex is a kind of "discretization" of a metric space, we realise that there must be an issue of *scale*. For our analysis to be invariant under small perturbations in the data, we need a way to find the optimal scale parameter to capture the adequate topological structure, without taking into account some small perturbations, nor ignoring some important smaller features.

The ideal solution to these problems is to consider all scales at once: this is the objective of *filtered simplical complexes*.

Definition 3.3 (Filtration). A *filtered simplicial complex*, or simply a *filtration*, K is a sequence $(K_i)_{i \in I}$ of simplicial complexes such that:

for any *i*, *j* ∈ *I*, if *i* < *j* then *K_i* ⊆ *K_j*,
⋃_{*i*∈*I*} *K_i* = *K*.

3.2 Persistent Homology

We can now compute the homology for each step in a filtration. This leads to the notion of *persistent homology* [5, 24], which gives us all the information necessary to establish the topological structure of the metric space at multiple scales.

Definition 3.4 (Persistent homology). The *p*-th persistent homology of a simplicial complex $K = (K_i)_{i \in I}$ is the pair $(\{H_p(K_i)\}_{i \in I}, \{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I, i \leq j})$, where for all $i \leq j$, $f_{i,j} : H_p(K_i) \mapsto H_p(K_j)$ is induced by the inclusion map $K_i \mapsto K_j$.

The functions $f_{i,j}$ allow us to link generators in each successive homology space in the filtration. Since each generator correspond to a topological feature (connected component, hole, void, etc, depending on the dimension p), we can determine whether it survives in the next step

of the filtration. We can now determine when each feature is born and when it dies (if it dies at all). This representation will be dependent on the choice of basis for each homology space $H_p(K_i)$. However, by the Fundamental Theorem of Persistent Homology, we can choose base vectors in each homology space such that the collection of half-open intervals is well-defined and unique. This construction is called a *barcode*.

3.3 TOPOLOGICAL SUMMARIES: BARCODES AND PERSISTENCE DIAGRAMS

In order to interpret the results of the persistent homology computation, we need to compare the output for a particular data set to a suitable null model. For this, we need some kind of a similarity measure between barcodes and a way to evaluate the statistical significance of the results.

One possible approach for this is to define a space in which we can project barcodes and study their geometric properties. *Persistence diagrams* are an example of such a space.

Definition 3.5 (Persistence diagrams). A *persistence diagram* is the union of a finite multiset of points in \mathbb{R}^2 zith the diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, where every point of Δ has infinite multiplicity.

The diagonal Δ is added to facilitate comparisons between diagrams, as points near the diagonal correspond to short-lived topological feature, thus likely to be caused by small perturbations in the data.

We can now define several distances on the space of persistence diagrams.

Definition 3.6 (Wasserstein distance). The *p*-th Wasserstein distance between two diagrams X and Y is

$$W_p[d](X,Y) = \inf_{\phi: X \mapsto Y} \left[\sum_{x \in X} d\left(x, \phi(x)\right)^p \right]$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$, and

$$W_{\infty}[d](X,Y) = \inf_{\phi: X \mapsto Y} \sup_{x \in X} d(x,\phi(x))$$

for $p = \infty$, where d is a distance on \mathbb{R}^2 and ϕ ranges over all bijections from X to Y.

Definition 3.7 (Bottleneck distance). The *bottleneck distance* is defined as the infinite Wasserstein distance with d the uniform norm: $d_B = W_{\infty}[L_{\infty}]$.

Since the bottleneck distance is by far the most commonly used, we will focus on it in the following. It is symmetric, non-negative, and satisfies the triangle inequality. However, it is not a true distance, as it is fairly straightforward to come up with two distinct diagrams at bottleneck distance zero, even on multisets not touching the diagonal Δ .

3.4 STABILITY

One of the most important aspects of Topological Data Analysis is that it is *stable* with respect to small perturbations in the data. In fact, the persistence diagram operator is Lipschitz with respect to the bottleneck distance. First, we define a distance between subsets of a metric space.

Definition 3.8 (Hausdorff distance). Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (E, d). The *Hausdorff distance* is defined by

$$d_H(X,Y) = \max\left[\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} d(x,y), \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} d(x,y)\right]$$

We can now give the proper stability property.

Proposition 3.1. Let X and Y be subsets in a metric space. We have

 $d_B(\operatorname{dgm}(X), \operatorname{dgm}(Y)) \le d_H(X, Y).$

3.5 Algorithms and implementations

[3, 15, 16, 21]

4 Topological Data Analysis on Networks

4.1 Persistent homology for networks

We now consider the problem of applying persistent homology to network data. An undirected network is already a simplicial complex of dimension 1. However, this will not be sufficient to capture enough topological information: we need to introduce higher-dimensional simplices. The first possible method is to project the network on a metric space [19], thus transforming the network data into a point cloud data. For this, we need to compute the distance between each pair of nodes in the network (via shortest path distance for instance). This also requires the network to be connected.

Another usual method for weighted networks is called the *weight rank clique filtration* (WRCF) [20], which filters the network based on weights. The procedure works as follows:

- 1. Set the set of all nodes, without any edge, as filtration step o.
- 2. Rank all edge weights in decreasing order $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$.
- 3. At filtration step t, keep only the edges whose weights are less than w_t , thus creating an unweighted graph.
- 4. Define the maximal cliques of the resulting graph to be simplices.

At each step of the filtration, we construct a simplicial complex based on cliques: this is called a *clique complex*. It is necessarily valid since a subset of a clique is necessarily a clique itself, and the same is true for the intersection of two cliques.

This leads to a first possibility for applying persistent homology to temporal networks. It is possible to segment the lifetime of the network into sliding windows, creating a static graph on each window by retaining only the edges available during the time interval. We can then apply WRCF on each static graph in the sequence, obtaining a filtered complex for each window, to which we can then apply persistent homology.

This method can quickly become very computationally expensive, as finding all maximal cliques (using the Bron-Kerbosch algorithm for example) is a complicated problem in itself. In practice, we often restrict the search to cliques of dimension lower than a certain bound d_M . With this restriction, the new simplicial complex is homologically equivalent to the original: they have the same homology groups up to H_{d_M-1} .

This method is sensitive to the choice of sliding windows on the time scale. The width and the overlap of the windows can completely change the networks created and their topological features. Too small a window, and the network becomes too small to have any significant topological properties, too large, and we lose important information in the evolution of the network over time.

4.2 ZIGZAG PERSISTENCE

The standard algorithm to compute persistent homology (section 3.2) only works for filtrations which are nested sequences of simplicial complexes:

$$\cdots \subseteq K_{i-1} \subseteq K_i \subseteq K_{i+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

When studying temporal networks, we have two possibilities:

- Create an independent filtration (e.g. WRCF) from each time step. The issue is that the topological features will be completely disconnected from the time dimension.
- Create a filtration along the time dimension. The issue in this case is that the sequence is no longer nested (except for additive temporal networks, ie when edges are never deleted).

The solution to consider the time dimension is provided by *zigzag persistence* [6], which allows to compute persistence on alternating nested sequences:

$$\cdots \supseteq K_{i-1} \subseteq K_i \supseteq K_{i+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

This sequence can in turn be computed from a temporal network by computing the union of each pair of consecutive time steps, constructing a alternating sequence.

Zigzag persistence is a special case of the more general concept of *multi-parameter persistence* [7, 10], where filtrations can span across multiple parameters.

5 Persistent Homology for Machine Learning applications

The output of persistent homology is not directly usable by most statistical methods. Barcodes and persistence diagrams, being a multiset of points in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^2$, are not elements of a metric space in which we could perform statistical computations.

The distances between persistence diagrams defined in section 3.3 allow us to compare different outputs. From a statistical perspective, it is possible to use a generative model of simplicial complexes, and use a distance between persistence diagrams to measure the similarity of our observations with this null model [2]. This would effectively define a metric space of persistence diagrams. It is even possible to define some statistical summaries (means, medians, confidence intervals) on these spaces [17, 23].

The issue with this approach is that metric spaces do not offer enough algebraic structure to be amenable to most machine learning techniques. One of the most recent development in the study of topological summaries has been to find mappings between the space of persistence diagrams and Banach spaces.

5.1 VECTORIZATION METHODS

5.1.1 Persistence landscapes

Persistence landscapes [4] are a mean to project the barcodes in a space where it will be possible to add them meaningfully. It would thus be possible to define means of persistence diagrams, along other summary statistics.

As all the other vectorization techniques mentioned here, this approach is *injective*, but not surjective, and no explicit inverse exists to go back from a persistence landscape to the corresponding persistence diagram. Moreover, a mean of persistence landscapes do not necessarily have a corresponding persistence diagram.

Definition 5.1 (Persistence landscape). The persistence landscape of a diagram $D = \{(b_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is the set of functions $\lambda_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $\lambda_k(x) = k$ -th largest value of $\{f_{(b_i,d_i)}(x)\}_{i=1}^n$,

(or zero if the k-th largest value does not exist), where $f_{(b,d)}$ is a piecewise linear function defined by:

$$f_{(b,d)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin (b,d) \\ x - b & \text{if } x \in (b, \frac{b+d}{2}) \\ -x + d & \text{if } x \in (\frac{b+d}{2},d). \end{cases}$$

The persistence landscape is thus a kind of superposition of piecewise linear functions. Moreover, one can show that persistence landscapes are stable with respect to the L^p distance, and that the Wasserstein and bottleneck distances are bounded by the L^p distance [4]. We can thus view the landscapes as elements of a Banach space in which we can perform the statistical computations.

5.1.2 Persistence images

[1]

5.1.3 TROPICAL AND ARCTIC SEMIRINGS

[11]

5.2 Kernel-based methods

5.2.1 Persistent scale-space kernel

[14, 22]

5.2.2 Persistence weighted gaussian kernel

[13]

5.2.3 SLICED WASSERSTEIN KERNEL

[8]

5.3 Comparison

Bibliography

- [1] Henry Adams et al. "Persistence Images: A Stable Vector Representation of Persistent Homology". In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.8 (2017), pp. 1–35. URL: http: //jmlr.org/papers/v18/16-337.html (visited on 06/12/2018).
- [2] Robert J. Adler et al. Persistent homology for random fields and complexes. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2010. ISBN: 978-0-940600-79-9. DOI: 10.1214/10-IMSCOLL609. URL: https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.imsc/1288099016 (visited on 07/30/2018).
- [3] Ulrich Bauer. *ripser: Ripser: a lean C++ code for the computation of Vietoris–Rips persistence barcodes.* original-date: 2015-10-27T21:43:59Z. Apr. 3, 2018. URL: https://github.com/Ripser/ripser (visited on 04/16/2018).
- [4] Peter Bubenik. "Statistical Topological Data Analysis using Persistence Landscapes". In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 16 (2015), pp. 77–102. URL: http://www.jmlr.org/ papers/v16/bubenik15a.html (visited on 04/20/2018).
- [5] Gunnar Carlsson. "Topology and data". In: Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 46.2 (Jan. 29, 2009), pp. 255-308. ISSN: 0273-0979. DOI: 10.1090/S0273-0979-09-01249-X. URL: http://www.ams.org/journal-getitem?pii=S0273-0979-09-01249-X (visited on 11/03/2017).
- [6] Gunnar Carlsson, Vin de Silva, and Dmitriy Morozov. "Zigzag Persistent Homology and Real-valued Functions". In: Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry. SCG '09. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2009, pp. 247–256. ISBN: 978-1-60558-501-7. DOI: 10.1145/1542362.1542408. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1542362.1542408 (visited on 04/20/2018).
- [7] Gunnar Carlsson and Afra Zomorodian. "The Theory of Multidimensional Persistence". In: Discrete & Computational Geometry 42.1 (July 1, 2009), pp. 71–93. ISSN: 0179-5376, 1432-0444.
 DOI: 10.1007/s00454-009-9176-0. URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00454-009-9176-0 (visited on 04/30/2018).
- [8] Mathieu Carrière, Marco Cuturi, and Steve Oudot. "Sliced Wasserstein Kernel for Persistence Diagrams". In: International Conference on Machine Learning. International Conference on Machine Learning. July 17, 2017, pp. 664–673. URL: http://proceedings.mlr.press/v70/ carriere17a.html (visited on o6/20/2018).
- [9] Arnaud Casteigts et al. "Time-varying graphs and dynamic networks". In: International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems 27.5 (Oct. 1, 2012), pp. 387–408. ISSN: 1744-5760. DOI: 10.1080/17445760.2012.668546. URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/17445760.2012.668546 (visited on o2/21/2018).
- [10] Tamal K. Dey, Fengtao Fan, and Yusu Wang. "Computing Topological Persistence for Simplicial Maps". In: Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry. SOCG'14. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2014, 345:345-345:354. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2594-3. DOI: 10.1145/2582112.2582165. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2582112.2582165 (visited on 04/20/2018).

- [11] Sara Kališnik. "Tropical Coordinates on the Space of Persistence Barcodes". In: Foundations of Computational Mathematics (Jan. 30, 2018), pp. 1–29. ISSN: 1615-3375, 1615-3383. DOI: 10.1007/s10208-018-9379-y. URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10208-018-9379-y (visited on 06/13/2018).
- [12] Mikko Kivelä et al. "Multilayer Networks". In: *Journal of Complex Networks* 2.3 (Sept. 1, 2014), pp. 203–271. ISSN: 2051-1310, 2051-1329. DOI: 10.1093/comnet/cnu016. arXiv: 1309.7233. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.7233 (visited on 02/13/2018).
- [13] Genki Kusano, Kenji Fukumizu, and Yasuaki Hiraoka. "Kernel method for persistence diagrams via kernel embedding and weight factor". In: arXiv:1706.03472 [physics, stat] (June 12, 2017). arXiv: 1706.03472. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03472 (visited on 06/12/2018).
- [14] Roland Kwitt et al. "Statistical Topological Data Analysis A Kernel Perspective". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 28. Ed. by C. Cortes et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2015, pp. 3070–3078. URL: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5887-statistical-topologicaldata-analysis-a-kernel-perspective.pdf (visited on 04/20/2018).
- [15] Clément Maria et al. "The Gudhi Library: Simplicial Complexes and Persistent Homology". In: *Mathematical Software – ICMS 2014*. International Congress on Mathematical Software. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Aug. 5, 2014, pp. 167–174. ISBN: 978-3-662-44198-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-44199-2_28. URL: https://link.springer. com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-662-44199-2_28 (visited on 02/15/2018).
- [16] Dimitriy Morozov. dionysus: Library for computing persistent homology. original-date: 2017-07-14T19:02:35Z. Apr. 11, 2018. URL: https://github.com/mrzv/dionysus (visited on 04/16/2018).
- [17] Elizabeth Munch et al. "Probabilistic Fréchet means for time varying persistence diagrams". In: *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 9.1 (2015), pp. 1173–1204. ISSN: 1935-7524. DOI: 10.1214/15–EJS1030. URL: https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ejs/1433195858 (visited on 04/20/2018).
- [18] M. E. J. Newman. "Network structure from rich but noisy data". In: Nature Physics 14.6 (June 2018), pp. 542-545. ISSN: 1745-2481. DOI: 10.1038/s41567-018-0076-1. URL: https: //www.nature.com/articles/s41567-018-0076-1 (visited on 07/10/2018).
- [19] Nina Otter et al. "A roadmap for the computation of persistent homology". In: *EPJ Data Science* 6.1 (Dec. 1, 2017), p. 17. ISSN: 2193-1127. DOI: 10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0109-5. URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0109-5 (visited on 04/12/2018).
- [20] Giovanni Petri et al. "Topological Strata of Weighted Complex Networks". In: PLoS ONE 8 (June 1, 2013), e66506. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066506. URL: http://adsabs.harvard.edu/ abs/2013PLoS0...866506P (visited on 04/20/2018).
- [21] Jan Reininghaus. DIPHA (A Distributed Persistent Homology Algorithm). original-date: 2015-12-25T17:23:32Z. Apr. 3, 2018. URL: https://github.com/DIPHA/dipha (visited on 04/16/2018).
- [22] Jan Reininghaus et al. "A stable multi-scale kernel for topological machine learning". In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2015, pp. 4741– 4748.
- [23] Katharine Turner et al. "Fréchet Means for Distributions of Persistence Diagrams". In: Discrete & Computational Geometry 52.1 (July 1, 2014), pp. 44–70. ISSN: 0179-5376, 1432-0444.
 DOI: 10.1007/s00454-014-9604-7. URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00454-014-9604-7 (visited on 04/20/2018).

[24] Afra Zomorodian and Gunnar Carlsson. "Computing Persistent Homology". In: Discrete & Computational Geometry 33.2 (Feb. 1, 2005), pp. 249–274. ISSN: 0179-5376, 1432-0444. DOI: 10.1007/s00454-004-1146-y. URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00454-004-1146-y (visited on 04/16/2018).